

## BACKGROUND

This Interim Report covers the fifth round of the SEMS asbestos fibre counting PT scheme. The scheme is operated by HSL, in collaboration with APC, Germany and TNO, Netherlands.

## SAMPLES

Four samples were circulated representing a range of different fibre densities and fibre types. All samples were produced at HSL using the modified sputnik multi-port sampling instrument.

## INTRODUCTION

A total of 69 laboratories participated in this round (including the validating laboratories). Laboratories were able to submit up to three results per sample and many laboratories took advantage of this with a total of 470 results submitted.

The samples were as follows:
5SEM1 - Medium density (<50 fibres/mm2) - chrysotile asbestos fibres
5SEM2 - Medium - high density (100 fibres/mm2) - chrysotile asbestos fibres
5SEM3 - Very low density (<10 fibres/mm2) - wollastonite - no asbestos fibres
5SEM4 - Very low density (<10 fibres/mm2) - amphibole asbestos fibres

## INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY LABORATORIES

Laboratories were asked to supply:

- The number of fibres $>5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long counted (amphibole, chrysotile and other inorganic)
- The number of fields of view searched
- The area of the field of view
- The magnification and the method used

Laboratories were asked to calculate the fibre density (in fibres $/ \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ) for each fibre type identified. There was also an option to include the number of fibres $\leq 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length.

## LABORATORY ASSESSMENT

## RESULTS

Calculations - At least two laboratories are known to have submitted incorrectly calculated results. In both cases the laboratory was informed.

Screen area - The fibre densities submitted by laboratories have not been recalculated and the density calculation and therefore screen area has not been verified.

Magnification - As was the case in earlier rounds, some laboratories used an operating magnification outside the range defined in ISO 14966 (or VDI 3492).

Magnifications of 6000x, 4000x, 3000x and 1000x were recorded.
Samples - 5SEM1 and 5SEM2 were chrysotile samples and both included a number of very fine chrysotile bundles and fibres

Results for total asbestos fibre densities for each laboratory are summarised in Appendix 1.

## Data Analysis

Data analysis is based upon the total asbestos fibre densities (amphibole \& chrysotile) derived from fibre numbers counted and the area of the filter searched. The distribution of fibres on a filter derived from airborne sampling is normally described as being Poisson-distributed. For Poisson-distributed counts, the variance (standard deviation squared) is equal to the mean. However, in practice the variation may be larger due to differences in sample production, laboratories and individual microscopists. A comparison of the observed standard deviations with the expected standard deviations (expected under Poisson distribution) show that the observed variation is larger than that expected, and it is difficult to quantify how much of this may be due to differences in sample production, and how much is due to differences between labs/microscopists.

Two approaches have been used to analyse the data for this round. The data have been compared against the criteria used in the UK phase contrast fibre counting proficiency testing scheme RICE and a modification of the analysis used in Rounds 1 and 2 (GLMM). The GLMM method was not used for samples 5SEM3 and 5SEM4 Details of the analysis used can be found in Appendix 2.

For the next round the performance of laboratories that have completed 4,5 or 6 rounds will be included.

## APPENDIX 1

| Sample 1 (5 SEM1) - Total asbestos fibre density (fmm ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Number | Total Asbestos | RICE | GLMM |
| 7 | 12.29 | B | B |
| 7 | 15.24 | B | A |
| 139 | 30.50 | A | A |
| 139 | 32.00 | A | A |
| 300 | 24.00 | A | A |
| 709 | 30.80 | A | A |
| 807 | 32.81 | A | A |
| 807 | 30.80 | A | A |
| 818 | 46.95 | A | A |
| 1181 | 22.44 | A | A |
| 1187 | 20.03 | A | A |
| 1276 | 39.00 | A | A |
| 1276 | 30.00 | A | A |
| 1277 | 27.60 | A | A |
| 1282 | 20.83 | A | A |
| 1477 | 69.99 | B | B |
| 1477 | 72.16 | B | B |
| 1477 | 77.81 | B | C |
| 1507 | 24.56 | A | A |
| 1562 | 68.80 | B | B |
| 1562 | 64.50 | B | B |
| 1575 | 22.85 | A | A |
| 1579 | 33.50 | A | A |
| 1579 | 35.00 | A | A |
| 1579 | 36.00 | A | A |
| 1582 | 22.00 | A | A |
| 1592 | 48.00 | A | A |
| 1592 | 46.00 | A | A |
| 1620 | 26.50 | A | A |
| 1620 | 22.00 | A | A |
| 1620 | 42.00 | A | A |
| 1628 | 34.11 | A | A |
| 1628 | 25.31 | A | A |
| 1628 | 51.75 | A | A |
| 1638 | 14.00 | B | A |
| 1639 | 24.00 | A | A |
| 1640 | 37.20 | A | A |
| 1658 | 14.00 | B | A |
| 1658 | 15.50 | B | A |
| 1669 | 34.50 | A | A |
| 1669 | 23.50 | A | A |
| 1669 | 39.00 | A | A |
| 1675 | 31.60 | A | A |
| 1680 | 56.70 | B | A |
| 1680 | 61.50 | B | A |
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| 1680 | 66.80 | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1684 | 47.50 | A | A |
| 1687 | 26.70 | A | A |
| 1715 | 17.82 | A | A |
| 1717 | 9.81 | C | C |
| 1719 | 7.00 | C | C |
| 1719 | 7.00 | C | C |
| 1720 | 14.00 | B | A |
| 1722 | 22.60 | A | A |
| 1722 | 21.10 | A | A |
| 1722 | 28.10 | A | A |
| 1734 | 20.51 | A | A |
| 1734 | 14.22 | B | A |
| 1759 | 28.60 | A | A |
| 1759 | 46.90 | A | A |
| 1759 | 38.40 | A | A |
| 1761 | 19.16 | A | A |
| 1764 | 46.50 | A | A |
| 1767 | 10.50 | B | C |
| 1767 | 11.70 | B | B |
| 1768 | 26.79 | A | A |
| 1774 | 25.50 | A | A |
| 1776 | 63.00 | B | A |
| 1776 | 57.00 | B | A |
| 1812 | 19.00 | A | A |
| 1812 | 16.50 | A | A |
| 1812 | 27.50 | A | A |
| 1814 | 48.50 | A | A |
| 1817 | 45.50 | A | A |
| 1826 | 14.43 | B | A |
| 1827 | 21.00 | A | A |
| 1827 | 22.00 | A | A |
| 1829 | 26.89 | A | A |
| 1829 |  |  |  |
| 1830 | 44.64 | A | A |
| 1830 | 47.62 | A | A |
| 1830 | 32.74 | A | A |
| 1831 | 14.30 | B | A |
| 1831 | 19.00 | A | A |
| 1831 | 22.20 | A | A |
| 1832 | 33.50 | A | A |
| 1832 | 48.50 | A | A |
| 1836 | 35.70 | A | A |
| 1836 | 37.56 | A | A |
| 1836 | 37.70 | A | A |
| 1848 | 14.30 | B | A |
| 1852 | 14.00 | B | A |
| 1871 | 46.50 | A | A |

## APPENDIX 1

| 1871 | 43.50 | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1874 | 10.00 | C | C |
| 1879 | 37.00 | A | A |
| 1879 | 38.00 | A | A |
| 1879 | 48.00 | A | A |
| 1885 | 28.00 | A | A |
| 1885 | 30.00 | A | A |
| 1885 | 26.00 | A | A |
| 1889 | 39.40 | A | A |
| 1889 | 53.10 | A | A |
| 1903 | 6.40 | C | C |
| 1903 |  |  |  |
| 1910 | 214.00 | C | C |
| 1923 | 41.50 | A | A |
| 1923 | 44.00 | A | A |
| 1928 | 18.80 | A | A |
| 1928 | 20.10 | A | A |
| 1928 | 23.50 | A | A |
| 1937 | 48.60 | A | A |
| 1937 | 51.50 | A | A |
| 1938 | 24.00 | A | A |
| 1938 | 16.00 | A | A |
| 1939 | 42.47 | A | A |
| 1939 | 48.46 | A | A |
| 1939 |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 36.00 | A | A |
| 1941 | 31.00 | A | A |
| 1941 | 63.00 | B | A |
| 1948 | 29.00 | A | A |


| Mean | 34.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |
| STDev | 22.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Max | 214.0 |  |  |  |  |
| RICE A (Lower) | RICE A <br> (Upper) | RICE B (Lower) | RICE B (Upper) | RICE C (Lower) | RICE C <br> (Upper) |
| 15.6 | 56.0 | 10.1 | 77.8 | <10.1 | >77.8 |


| glmm mean (mixed effects <br> model) | 28.9 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Poisson lower limit of Cl for <br> mean | 19.0 |
| Poisson upper limit of Cl for <br> mean | 41.1 |
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Sample 2 (5 SEM2) - Total asbestos fibre density (fmm ${ }^{-2}$ )

|  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Asbestos | RICE | GLMM |
| 7 | 34.41 | A | A |
| 7 | 42.28 | A | A |
| 139 | 54.50 | A | A |
| 139 | 33.50 | A | A |
| 300 | 35.00 | A | A |
| 709 | 28.50 | A | A |
| 807 | 37.61 | A | A |
| 807 | 46.16 | A | A |
| 818 | 68.93 | A | A |
| 1181 | 20.94 | B | B |
| 1187 | 30.67 | A | A |
| 1276 | 75.00 | A | A |
| 1276 | 65.00 | A | A |
| 1277 | 59.40 | A | A |
| 1282 | 38.69 | A | A |
| 1477 | 59.56 | A | A |
| 1477 | 65.21 | A | A |
| 1477 |  |  |  |
| 1507 | 35.09 | A | A |
| 1562 | 105.20 | C | B |
| 1562 | 75.90 | A | A |
| 1575 | 44.79 | A | A |
| 1579 | 120.00 | C | C |
| 1579 | 95.00 | B | A |
| 1579 | 103.50 | C | B |
| 1582 | 20.00 | B | B |
| 1592 | 75.00 | A | A |
| 1592 | 66.00 | A | A |
| 1620 | 51.50 | A | A |
| 1620 | 32.00 | A | A |
| 1620 | 108.50 | C | B |
| 1628 | 42.04 | A | A |
| 1628 | 30.67 | A | A |
| 1628 | 50.72 | A | A |
| 1638 | 30.50 | A | A |
| 1639 | 19.00 | B | C |
| 1640 | 47.10 | A | A |
| 1658 | 19.89 | B | B |
| 1658 | 19.00 | B | C |
| 1669 | 40.00 | A | A |
| 1669 | 56.00 | A | A |
| 1669 | 66.00 | A | A |
| 1675 | 41.60 | A | A |
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| 1680 | 118.60 | C | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1680 | 98.30 | B | B |
| 1680 | 95.40 | B | A |
| 1684 | 75.00 | A | A |
| 1687 | 111.10 | C | B |
| 1715 | 26.73 | B | A |
| 1717 | 29.44 | A | A |
| 1719 | 22.00 | B | B |
| 1719 | 24.00 | B | A |
| 1720 | 30.00 | A | A |
| 1722 | 46.20 | A | A |
| 1722 | 51.00 | A | A |
| 1722 | 41.70 | A | A |
| 1734 | 19.72 | B | B |
| 1734 | 32.39 | A | A |
| 1759 | 46.00 | A | A |
| 1759 | 40.20 | A | A |
| 1759 | 38.40 | A | A |
| 1761 | 5.00 | C | C |
| 1764 | 87.00 | B | A |
| 1767 | 29.50 | A | A |
| 1767 | 6.40 | C | C |
| 1768 | 39.68 | A | A |
| 1774 | 32.00 | A | A |
| 1776 | 72.00 | A | A |
| 1776 | 61.00 | A | A |
| 1812 | 40.00 | A | A |
| 1812 | 28.50 | A | A |
| 1812 | 31.50 | A | A |
| 1814 | 98.10 | B | B |
| 1817 | 106.00 | C | B |
| 1826 | 52.93 | A | A |
| 1827 | 36.50 | A | A |
| 1827 | 41.00 | A | A |
| 1829 | 59.80 | A | A |
| 1829 | 60.40 | A | A |
| 1830 | 89.29 | B | A |
| 1830 | 103.17 | C | B |
| 1830 | 91.27 | B | A |
| 1831 | 34.10 | A | A |
| 1831 | 31.00 | A | A |
| 1831 | 45.30 | A | A |
| 1832 | 67.50 | A | A |
| 1832 | 69.00 | A | A |
| 1836 | 45.25 | A | A |
| 1836 | 56.40 | A | A |
| 1836 | 38.45 | A | A |
| 1848 | 19.80 | B | B |
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| 1852 | 53.67 | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1871 | 37.50 | A | A |
| 1871 | 48.00 | A | A |
| 1874 | 20.00 | B | B |
| 1879 | 46.00 | A | A |
| 1879 | 56.00 | A | A |
| 1879 | 58.00 | A | A |
| 1885 | 41.00 | A | A |
| 1885 | 30.00 | A | A |
| 1885 | 41.00 | A | A |
| 1889 | 92.50 | B | A |
| 1889 | 94.50 | B | A |
| 1903 | 18.70 | C | C |
| 1903 | 11.30 | C | C |
| 1910 | 127.00 | C | C |
| 1923 | 74.50 | A | A |
| 1923 | 71.30 | A | A |
| 1928 | 46.30 | A | A |
| 1928 | 49.60 | A | A |
| 1928 | 46.90 | A | A |
| 1937 | 39.10 | A | A |
| 1937 | 37.10 | A | A |
| 1938 | 36.00 | A | A |
| 1938 |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 78.94 | B | A |
| 1939 | 78.44 | B | A |
| 1939 |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 99.00 | B | B |
| 1941 | 83.50 | B | A |
| 1941 | 81.00 | B | A |
| 1948 | 31.00 | A | A |


| Mean | 53.3 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Median | 46.0 |
| STDev | 27.4 |
| Min | 5.0 |
| Max | 127.0 |


| RICE A <br> (Lower) | RICE A <br> (Upper) | RICE B <br> (Lower) | RICE B <br> (Upper) | RICE C <br> (Lower) | RICE C <br> (Upper) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.2 | 76.4 | 19.7 | 101.7 | $<19.7$ | $>101.7$ |


| glmm mean (mixed effects <br> model) | 46.8 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Poisson lower limit of Cl for <br> mean | 34.1 |
| Poisson upper limit of CI for <br> mean | 61.9 |
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Sample 3 (5 SEM 3) - Total asbestos fibre density ( $\mathrm{fmm}^{-2}$ )

| Lab Number | Total Asbestos | RICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.00 | A |
| 7 | 0.98 | A |
| 139 | 0.00 | A |
| 139 | 0.00 | A |
| 300 | 0.00 | A |
| 709 | 0.00 | A |
| 807 | 0.00 | A |
| 807 | 0.00 | A |
| 818 | 0.00 | A |
| 1181 | 0.00 | A |
| 1187 | 0.00 | A |
| 1276 | 1.50 | A |
| 1276 | 0.40 | A |
| 1277 | 0.00 | A |
| 1282 | 0.00 | A |
| 1477 | 0.00 | A |
| 1477 | 0.00 | A |
| 1477 | 0.00 | A |
| 1507 | 0.00 | A |
| 1562 | 0.00 | A |
| 1562 | 0.00 | A |
| 1575 | 0.00 | A |
| 1579 | 0.00 | A |
| 1579 | 0.00 | A |
| 1579 | 0.00 | A |
| 1582 | 0.00 | A |
| 1592 | 0.00 | A |
| 1592 | 0.00 | A |
| 1620 | 0.00 | A |
| 1620 |  |  |
| 1620 |  |  |
| 1628 | 0.00 | A |
| 1628 | 0.00 | A |
| 1628 | 0.00 | A |
| 1638 | 0.00 | A |
| 1639 | 0.00 | A |
| 1640 | 0.00 | A |
| 1658 | 0.00 | A |
| 1658 | 0.00 | A |
| 1669 | 0.00 | A |
| 1669 | 0.00 | A |
| 1669 | 0.00 | A |
| 1675 | 0.00 | A |
| 1680 | 0.00 | A |
| 1680 | 0.00 | A |
| 1680 | 0.00 | A |
| 1684 | 0.00 | A |
| 1687 | 0.00 | A |
| 1715 | 0.00 | A |
| 1717 | 0.00 | A |
| 1719 | 0.00 | A |
| 1719 | 0.00 | A |
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| 1720 | 0.00 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1722 | 0.00 | A |
| 1722 | 0.00 | A |
| 1722 | 0.00 | A |
| 1734 | 0.00 | A |
| 1734 | 0.00 | A |
| 1759 | 0.00 | A |
| 1759 | 0.00 | A |
| 1759 | 0.00 | A |
| 1761 | 0.00 | A |
| 1764 | 0.00 | A |
| 1767 | 0.00 | A |
| 1767 | 0.00 | A |
| 1768 | 0.00 | A |
| 1774 | 0.00 | A |
| 1776 | 0.00 | A |
| 1776 | 0.00 | A |
| 1812 | 0.00 | A |
| 1812 | 0.00 | A |
| 1812 | 0.00 | A |
| 1814 | 0.00 | A |
| 1817 | 0.00 | A |
| 1826 | 0.00 | A |
| 1827 | 0.00 | A |
| 1827 | 0.00 | A |
| 1829 | 0.00 | A |
| 1829 |  |  |
| 1830 | 0.00 | A |
| 1830 | 0.00 | A |
| 1830 | 0.00 | A |
| 1831 | 0.00 | A |
| 1831 | 0.00 | A |
| 1831 | 0.00 | A |
| 1832 | 0.00 | A |
| 1832 | 0.00 | A |
| 1836 | 0.00 | A |
| 1836 | 0.00 | A |
| 1836 |  |  |
| 1848 | 0.00 | A |
| 1852 | 0.00 | A |
| 1871 | 0.00 | A |
| 1871 | 0.00 | A |
| 1874 | 0.00 | A |
| 1879 | 0.00 | A |
| 1879 | 0.00 | A |
| 1879 | 0.00 | A |
| 1885 | 0.00 | A |
| 1885 | 0.00 | A |
| 1885 | 0.00 | A |
| 1889 | 0.00 | A |
| 1889 | 0.00 | A |
| 1903 | 0.00 | A |
| 1903 |  |  |
| 1910 | 0.00 | A |
| 1923 | 0.00 | A |
| 1923 | 0.00 | A |
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| 1928 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1928 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1928 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 2.90 | A |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 1.90 | A |  |  |  |
| 1938 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1938 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 1.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1939 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1941 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1941 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| Mean | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Median (Ref) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| STDev | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |
| RICE A <br> (Lower) | RICE A <br> (Upper) | RICE B <br> (Lower) | RICE B <br> (Upper) | RICE C <br> (Lower) | RICE C <br> (Upper) |
| - | 3.8 | - | 10.9 | - | >10.9 |
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Sample 4 (5 SEM4) - Total asbestos fibre density (fmm ${ }^{-2}$ )

| Lab Number | Total Asbestos | RICE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 0.98 | A |
| 7 | 1.47 | A |
| 139 | 2.00 | A |
| 139 | 0.00 | A |
| 300 | 4.00 | A |
| 709 | 3.70 | A |
| 807 | 1.60 | A |
| 807 | 1.20 | A |
| 818 | 2.00 | A |
| 1181 | 1.99 | A |
| 1187 | 2.50 | A |
| 1276 | 2.00 | A |
| 1276 | 2.40 | A |
| 1277 | 0.80 | A |
| 1282 | 0.00 | A |
| 1477 | 7.83 | A |
| 1477 | 2.17 | A |
| 1477 |  |  |
| 1507 | 1.75 | A |
| 1562 | 3.90 | A |
| 1562 | 1.80 | A |
| 1575 | 1.83 | A |
| 1579 | 5.00 | A |
| 1579 | 4.00 | A |
| 1579 | 4.00 | A |
| 1582 | 3.00 | A |
| 1592 | 1.00 | A |
| 1592 | 3.00 | A |
| 1620 | 2.00 | A |
| 1620 |  |  |
| 1620 |  |  |
| 1628 | 1.56 | A |
| 1628 | 1.60 | A |
| 1628 | 1.04 | A |
| 1638 | 2.00 | A |
| 1639 | 0.00 | A |
| 1640 | 2.90 | A |
| 1658 | 1.00 | A |
| 1658 | 0.00 | A |
| 1669 | 0.00 | A |
| 1669 | 2.00 | A |
| 1669 | 2.00 | A |
| 1675 | 2.50 | A |
| 1680 | 1.80 | A |
| 1680 | 2.70 | A |
| 1680 | 2.70 | A |
| 1684 | 1.00 | A |
| 1687 | 0.00 | A |
| 1715 | 0.99 | A |
| 1717 | 2.94 | A |
| 1719 | 2.00 | A |
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| 1719 | 2.50 | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1720 | 2.00 | A |
| 1722 | 1.20 | A |
| 1722 | 2.40 | A |
| 1722 | 1.20 | A |
| 1734 | 0.94 | A |
| 1734 | 0.00 | A |
| 1759 | 3.10 | A |
| 1759 | 4.00 | A |
| 1759 | 3.10 | A |
| 1761 | 1.66 | A |
| 1764 | 1.00 | A |
| 1767 | 1.00 | A |
| 1767 | 0.60 | A |
| 1768 | 0.99 | A |
| 1774 | 2.00 | A |
| 1776 | 1.00 | A |
| 1776 | 3.00 | A |
| 1812 | 1.00 | A |
| 1812 | 1.00 | A |
| 1812 | 0.00 | A |
| 1814 | 4.60 | A |
| 1817 | 1.00 | A |
| 1826 | 2.41 | A |
| 1827 | 0.00 | A |
| 1827 | 0.00 | A |
| 1829 | 0.50 | A |
| 1829 |  |  |
| 1830 | 1.98 | A |
| 1830 | 1.98 | A |
| 1830 | 0.00 | A |
| 1831 | 1.60 | A |
| 1831 | 0.00 | A |
| 1831 | 0.00 | A |
| 1832 | 2.50 | A |
| 1832 | 1.00 | A |
| 1836 | 6.00 | A |
| 1836 | 2.56 | A |
| 1836 |  |  |
| 1848 | 3.00 | A |
| 1852 | 0.78 | A |
| 1871 | 3.50 | A |
| 1871 | 2.00 | A |
| 1874 | 0.80 | A |
| 1879 | 3.00 | A |
| 1879 | 2.00 | A |
| 1879 | 4.00 | A |
| 1885 | 2.00 | A |
| 1885 | 2.00 | A |
| 1885 | 2.00 | A |
| 1889 | 1.97 | A |
| 1889 | 0.00 | A |
| 1903 | 2.00 | A |
| 1903 |  |  |
| 1910 | 0.00 | A |
| 1923 | 1.10 | A |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1923 | 3.20 | A |  |  |  |
| 1928 | 2.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1928 | 2.70 | A |  |  |  |
| 1928 | 2.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 2.90 | A |  |  |  |
| 1937 | 1.90 | A |  |  |  |
| 1938 | 2.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1938 | 0.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 1.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 2.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1939 | 3.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 2.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1941 | 1.50 | A |  |  |  |
| 1941 | 2.00 | A |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 1.00 | A |  |  |  |
| Mean | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Median (Ref) | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |
| STDev | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max | 7.8 |  |  |  |  |
| RICE A (Lower) | RICE A <br> (Upper) | RICE B <br> (Lower) | RICE B <br> (Upper) | RICE C (Lower) | RICE C <br> (Upper) |
| 0.0 | 11.4 | - | 22.2 | - | >22.2 |
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## DATA ANALYSIS - METHOD 1

## Regular Inter-laboratory Counting Exchange (RICE) Criteria

Where $\boldsymbol{R}$ is the reference value - in this case the Median value.
High density samples ( $R>63.7$ fibres. $\mathrm{mm}^{-2}$ )
Target band A: $>0.65 R$ to $<1.55 R$
Target band $\mathrm{B}:>0.50 R$ to $0.65 R[$ band -B$]$ and $>1.55 R$ to $2.00 R$ [band +B ]
Target band C : $<0.50 R$ [band -C ] and $>2.00 R$ [band +C ]
Low density samples ( $R \leq 63.7$ fibres. $\left.\mathrm{mm}^{-2}\right)^{\text {* }}$
Target band A: $(\sqrt{ } R-1.57)^{2}$ to $(\sqrt{ } R+1.96)^{2}$ [band $A$ ]
Target band $\mathrm{B}:<(\sqrt{ } R-2.34)^{2}$ to $(\sqrt{ } R-1.57)^{2}$ [band -B$]$ $>(\sqrt{ } R+1.96)^{2}$ to $(\sqrt{ } R+3.30)^{2}$ [band +B ]

Target band $\mathrm{C}:<(\sqrt{ } R-2.34)^{2}$ [band -C ]

$$
>(\sqrt{ } R+3.30)^{2} \quad[\text { band }+C]
$$

* For samples less than 5.5 fibres. $\mathrm{mm}^{-2}$ the lower limit is set to zero when the component within the brackets ( $\sqrt{ } R-\mathrm{n}$ ) is less than zero.
The plot below shows the positions of the performance limits in relation to the reference counts up to reference density 500 fibres per $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$.



## APPENDIX 2

## DATA ANALYSIS - METHOD 2

## Mixed effects model for fibre counting

Data analysis is based upon the calculated total asbestos (amphibole \& chrysotile) fibre densities derived from fibre numbers counted and the area of the filter searched. The distribution of fibres on a filter derived from airborne sampling is normally described as being Poisson-distributed. For Poisson-distributed counts, the variance (standard deviation squared) is equal to the mean. However, in practice the variation may be larger due to differences in sample production, laboratories and individual microscopists. A comparison of the observed standard deviations with the expected standard deviations (expected under Poisson distribution) show that the observed variation is larger than that expected, and it is difficult to quantify how much of this may be due to differences in sample production, and how much is due to differences between labs/microscopists.

For each sample, it has been assumed that there are no production differences between samples, and that the fibre densities are Poisson distributed with mean " $\lambda$ " ( $\lambda$ is unknown but is estimated from the fibre counts). For samples where each lab submits just one reading, an estimate of " $\lambda$ " is the observed mean density count across all participating labs. However, when laboratories submit more than one reading per sample, taking simply the mean of all the submitted results to estimate lambda may lead to a biased estimate. Therefore, although the mean may be a close approximation to " $\lambda$ "; a more appropriate method would be to use a mixed effects regression model to estimate " $\lambda$ ". Therefore, $95 \%$ confidence limits for " $\lambda$ " can also be calculated from this, whichever method is used to estimate " $\lambda$ ". For a Poisson random variable with mean " $\lambda$ ", the variance is equal to the mean, i.e. if fibre counts truly follow a Poisson distribution with mean " $\lambda$ ", the variance should also equal " $\lambda$ ".

## Calculating Confidence Limits for a Poisson Mean

The fibre densities are assumed to follow a Poisson distribution with unknown mean " $\lambda$ ". When each lab submits just one result, the maximum likelihood estimate of " $\lambda$ ", (which we denote as $s$ ) is the mean of the observed fibre densities across all laboratories, i.e.

$$
s=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}}{N}
$$

Where $x_{i}$ is the observed fibre densities and $N$ is the number of observations.

## APPENDIX 2

When some labs submit more than one result, to account for variability between labs and reduce bias, we assume the following generalised linear mixed model (glmm):

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(X_{i j}\right)=\lambda_{i} \\
\log \left(\lambda_{i}\right)=a+b_{i} \\
b_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma_{b}^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $a$ is the logarithm of the general mean density (i.e. exp (a) represents the general mean density), and $b_{i}$ are random effects representing the systematic differences between the general mean density and the lab's measured densities (the $b_{i}$ are normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $\sigma_{b}{ }^{2}$ ). The model presented above can be fitted using statistics software such as R, providing us with estimates of the model parameter $a$, as well as the random effects $b_{i}$. The penalised quasilikelihood estimate of $\lambda$ is simply $s=\exp (\mathrm{a})$, and is presented in the table below, for each round and fibre type, e.g. the estimate of $\lambda$ for total fibres in Sample 1 is $\mathrm{s}=9.39$, so the total fibre densities in Sample 1 are can be assumed to be Poisson distributed with an estimated mean of 9.39 .

| Sample | Linear mixed effects estimate of fibre density <br> $\mathbf{s}=\exp (\mathbf{a})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total fibres | Total asbestos |
| 1 | 9.39 | 6.60 |
| 2 | 3.71 | 2.37 |
| 3 | 12.42 | 8.32 |
| 4 | 1.82 | 1.18 |

Once $s$ has been calculated using the maximum likelihood method or the glmm method, the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the Poisson mean can be determined:

$$
\left[\frac{\chi_{2 s, 0.025}^{2}}{2}, \frac{\chi_{2 s+2,0.975}^{2}}{2}\right]
$$

Where $\chi_{2 s, 0.025}^{2}$ (lower limit of the confidence interval for the Poisson mean) and $\chi_{2 s+2,0975}^{2}$ (upper limit of the confidence interval for the Poisson mean) are calculated as the chi-square quantiles with lower tail probabilities 0.025 and 0.975 on 2 s and $2 \mathrm{~s}+2$ degrees of freedom respectively.

Laboratory results have been compared against the $95 \%$ confidence intervals as follows:

1. Where the total asbestos fibre density falls within the $95 \%$ confidence intervals, the result is classified as " A "
2. Where the total asbestos fibre density falls outside the $95 \%$ confidence intervals, the result is classified as " $B$ "
